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The problem of the motion of a material system, consisting of a supporting rigid body, bounded by a surface and rolling on another moving surface, and a set of supported point masses, the position of which with respect to this body can be specified by a finite number of generalized coordinates, is considered using methods described previously in [1-3]. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. THE KINEMATIC PROPERTIES OF THE MOTION

We begin our study of the material system considered by describing the notation and by considering the kinematic properties. We introduce a system of rectangular coordinates $O x y z\left(\mathbf{i}_{1}, \mathbf{i}_{2}\right.$, and $\mathbf{i}_{3}$ are the unit vectors of the axes) and $O_{c} x^{c} y_{z}^{c} z^{c}\left(\mathbf{i}_{1}^{c}, \mathbf{i}_{2}^{c}\right.$ and $\dot{i}_{3}^{c}$ are the unit vectors of the axes), permanently connected with the supporting rigid body and with the surface-base respectively (all systems of coordinates are left systems). This enables us to define the position of the supporting body with respect to the surfacebase $S^{c}$ by the coordinates $x_{0}^{c}, y_{0}^{c}, z_{0}^{c}$ of the point $O$ in the axes $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ and the Euler angles $\varphi, \psi, \theta$ (pure rotation, precession and nutation) between the axes introduced, and the position of the system of supported point masses $M_{i}$ with respect to the supporting body (with respect to the axes $O x y z$ ) - by certain generalized coordinates $\alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}$. We denote the projections of the vector of the velocity $V_{0}$ of the point $O$ and the vector of the angular velocity of the supporting body $\omega$ onto the $O x y z$ axes by $k, l, m$ and $p, q, r$. We stipulate that the subscript of the radius vector, having its origin at the point $O_{a}$, $O_{c}, O$ and $C_{r}$, and its projections onto the axes $O_{a} x^{a} y^{a} z^{a}, O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}, O x y z$ and $C_{r} x^{r} y^{r} z^{r}$ respectively, is the symbol of the end of the radius vector, while the superscript is the symbol of its origin $O_{a}, O_{c}, O$ and $C_{r}$ (the symbol of the system of coordinates $O_{a} x^{a} y^{a} z^{a}, O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}, O x y z$ and $C_{r} x^{r} y^{r} z^{\prime}$ ).

We will assume further that $r_{i}^{c}$ and $r_{0}^{c}$ are the radius vectors, which fix the position of the points $M_{i}$ and $O$ on the axes $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$, while $r_{i}$ and $r_{C}$ are the radius vectors which fix the position of the points $M_{i}$ and $C$ ( $C$ is the centre of inertia of the system) on the axes $O x y z$; hence we obtain

$$
\mathbf{r}_{i}^{c}=\mathbf{r}_{0}^{c}\left(x_{0}^{c} y_{0}^{c} z_{0}^{c}\right)+\mathbf{r}_{i}\left(\alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}\right)
$$

We will now assume ${ }^{\ddagger}$ that the surface-base $S^{c}$ moves, and its motion (the motion of the system of coordinates $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ permanently connected with it) with respect to the fixed system of coordinates $O_{a} x^{a} y^{a} z^{a}\left(\mathbf{i}_{1}^{a}, \mathbf{i}_{2}^{a}\right.$ and $\mathbf{i}_{3}^{a}$ are the orthonormalized vectors of the axes) is known, i.e. the radius vector $\mathbf{r}_{O_{c}}^{a}=\mathbf{i}_{1}^{a} x_{O_{c}}^{a}+\mathbf{i}_{2}^{a} y_{O_{c}}^{a}+\mathbf{i}_{3}^{a} z_{O_{c}}^{a}$ of the point $O_{c}$ with origin at the point $O_{a}$ and the parameters (the generalized coordinates), defining the orientation of the axes $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ with respect to the axes $O_{a} x^{a} y^{a} z^{a}$ (for example, the Euler angles $\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}, \theta_{c}$ ) are specified as functions of time.

[^0]Further, introducing the radius vector $\rho$ with the origin at the point $O$ and the Gaussian coordinates $q^{1}, q^{2}$ for points of the surface $S$, bounding the supporting body, we will specify its equation in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{\rho}=\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(q^{1}, q^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}=x \mathbf{i}_{1}+y \mathbf{i}_{2}+z \mathbf{i}_{3}\right),
$$

while the coefficients of the first two quadratic forms will be denoted by $a_{11}, a_{22}, b_{11}$, and $b_{22}$ (for simplicity we will assume that the coordinate lines of the surface are lines of curvature). At the contact point $M$ we will attach to the surface $S$ the moving frame of reference $M q^{1} q^{2} n$ with the unit vectors directed along the tangent to the coordinate lines and the normal

$$
\mathbf{e}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11}}} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{22}}} \rho_{2}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11} a_{22}}}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}\right) \quad\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\alpha}=\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\rho}\right)
$$

We will denote the projections of the vectors $\mathbf{r}_{C}$ and $\rho$ onto the axis of this frame of reference by

$$
\xi_{C}, \eta_{C}, \varepsilon_{C}, \xi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11}}} \rho \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q^{1}}, \quad \eta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{22}}} \rho \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q^{2}}, \quad \varepsilon\left(\rho^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

We will introduce the cosines of the angles between the axes $O_{a} x^{a} y^{a} z^{a}$ and $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$, between the axes $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ and $M q_{c}^{1} q_{c}^{2} n$, and also between the axes $M q^{1} q^{2} n$ and $O x y z$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{i}_{1}^{c}=l_{a}^{1} \mathbf{i}_{1}^{a}+m_{a}^{1} \mathbf{i}_{2}^{a}+n_{a}^{1} \mathbf{i}_{3}^{a}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{1}^{c}=\alpha_{c} c_{1}^{c}+\alpha_{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{i}_{2}^{c}+\alpha_{c}^{" \prime} \mathbf{i}_{3}^{c} \\
& \mathbf{i}_{2}^{c}=l_{a}^{2} \mathbf{i}_{1}^{a}+m_{a}^{2} \mathbf{i}_{2}^{a}+n_{a}^{2} i_{3}^{a}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{2}^{c}=\beta_{c} \mathbf{i}_{1}^{c}+\beta_{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{i}_{2}^{c}+\beta_{c}^{\prime \prime i_{3}^{c}}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \mathbf{i}_{3}^{c}=l_{a}^{3} \mathbf{i}_{1}^{a}+m_{a}^{3} \mathbf{i}_{2}^{a}+n_{a}^{3} i_{3}^{a}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{3}^{c}=\gamma_{c} \mathbf{i}_{1}^{c}+\gamma_{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{i}_{2}^{c}+\gamma_{c}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{i}_{3}^{c} \\
& \mathbf{i}_{1}=\alpha \mathbf{e}_{1}+\beta \mathbf{e}_{2}+\gamma \mathbf{e}_{3}, \quad \mathbf{i}_{2}=\alpha^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\gamma^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{3}, \quad \mathbf{i}_{3}=\alpha^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\beta^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\gamma^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

All that has been stated here for the surface $S$, which bounds the supporting body, also holds for the surface-base $S^{c}$ (the corresponding values are denoted by the same letters but with an index $c$ ). Further, following Voronets, we will define the position of the supporting body by generalized coordinates $q^{1}, q^{2}, q_{c}^{P}, q_{c}^{2}$ and $\vartheta$ (the first four quantities are the Gaussian coordinates of the point $M$, and $\vartheta$ is the angle between the axes $q^{1}$ and $q_{c}^{2}$ at the same point), while the position of the whole system, consequently, will be defined by the generalized coordinates $q^{1}, q^{2}, q_{c}^{1}, q_{c}^{2}, \vartheta, \alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}$.

The projections $K_{c}, l_{c}$ and $m_{c}$ of the velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{O}}$ of the point $O_{c}$ onto the $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ axes will be as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{c} \equiv i_{1}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{O_{c}}=l_{a}^{1} \dot{x}_{O_{c}}^{a}+m_{a}^{1} \dot{y}_{O_{c}}^{a}+n_{a}^{1} \dot{z}_{O_{c}}^{a} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{c}$ and $m_{c}$ are obtained from relations (1.2) by replacing the superscript 1 by 2 and 4 , while the projections $p_{c}, q_{c}$ and $r_{c}$ of the angular velocity vector $\omega_{c}$ of the surface-base onto the axes $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ are given by known formulae [3, formulae (2.9.3)], where we must replace $\varphi, \psi, \theta$ by $\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}, \theta_{c}$ ).

For the projections of the velocity $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{v}_{O_{c}}+\boldsymbol{\omega}_{c} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}^{c}$ of a point the surface-base $S^{c}$, coinciding at the given instant with the contact point $M$, onto the axes $\mathbf{i}_{1}^{c}, i_{2}^{c}, i_{3}^{c}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{c}, \mathbf{e}_{2}^{c}$, $\mathbf{e}_{3}^{c}$, we correspondingly obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}=k_{c}+q_{c} z^{c}-r_{c} y^{c}, \quad b_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{j}=\alpha_{c} f_{1}+a_{c}^{\prime} f_{2}+\alpha_{c}^{\prime \prime} f_{3} \\
& f_{2}=l_{c}+r_{c} x^{c}-p_{c} z^{c}, \quad b_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{2}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{j}=\beta_{c} f_{1}+\beta_{c}^{\prime} f_{2}+\beta_{c}^{\prime \prime} f_{3}  \tag{1.3}\\
& f_{3}=m_{c}+p_{c} y^{c}-q_{c} x^{c}, \quad b_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{3}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{j}=\gamma_{c} f_{1}+\gamma_{c}^{\prime} f_{2}+\gamma_{c}^{\prime \prime} f_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ are functions of $t, q_{c}^{1}, q_{c}^{2}$. Finally, we obtain as functions of $t, q_{c}^{1}, q_{c}^{2}, \vartheta$ the projections $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$ of the vector $\mathbf{j}$ onto the axes of the moving frame of reference $M q^{1} q^{2} n$ ( $j_{k}$ occurs in the equation of motion)

$$
j_{1}= \pm b_{1} \sin \vartheta+b_{2} \cos \vartheta, \quad j_{2}=\mp b_{1} \cos \vartheta+b_{2} \sin \vartheta, \quad j_{3}= \pm b_{3}
$$

Here we have used the formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}_{1}= \pm e_{1}^{c} \sin \vartheta+e_{2}^{c} \cos \vartheta, \quad e_{2}=\mp e_{1}^{c} \cos \vartheta+e_{2}^{c} \sin \vartheta, \quad e_{3}= \pm e_{3}^{c} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will put an $x$ above a vector as the symbol of the derivative of the vector in the system of coordinates $O_{c} x^{c} y^{c} z^{c}$ with respect to time: ${ }^{x_{c}}$ (as similarly done by Lur'ye [3], who used an asterisk). The absolute velocity of the contact point (see [3, pp. 81 and 88]) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{M}^{\text {abs }}=\mathbf{v}_{o_{c}}+\omega_{c} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}^{c}+\stackrel{x}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{c}, \quad \stackrel{x}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{c}=\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\alpha}^{c} \dot{q}_{c}^{\alpha}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{c} \sqrt{a_{11}^{c}} \dot{q}_{c}^{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}^{c} \sqrt{a_{22}^{c}} \dot{q}_{c}^{2}  \tag{1.5}\\
& \mathbf{v}_{M}^{\text {abs }}=\mathbf{v}_{0}+\omega \times \boldsymbol{\omega}+\stackrel{*}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \quad \stackrel{*}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}=\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\alpha} \dot{q}^{\alpha}=\mathbf{e}_{1} \sqrt{a_{11} \dot{q}^{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2} \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives

$$
\mathbf{v}_{O_{c}}+\omega_{c} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}^{c}+\stackrel{x}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{c}^{c}=\mathbf{v}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}+\stackrel{*}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}
$$

We will introduce a vector $\mathbf{U}$ in the plane of the axes $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{x}{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\stackrel{*}{\rho}^{*}=\mathbf{v}_{0}+\omega \times \rho-\mathbf{v}_{O_{c}}-\omega_{c} \times \rho^{c}=\overline{\mathbf{U}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence ( $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \equiv \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{j})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{0}=\left[\mathbf{U}+\left(\mathbf{v}_{O_{c}}+\omega_{c} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}^{c}\right)\right]-\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}-\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no slipping at the point $M\left(\mathbf{v}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}-\mathbf{v}_{O_{c}}-\boldsymbol{\omega}_{c} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{c}=0\right)$, and hence we obtain equations of non-holonomic constraint (we project the vector $\mathbf{U}=\stackrel{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{ }^{c}-\stackrel{*}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}=0$ onto the axes $\mathbf{e}_{1}$, and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{1}= \pm \sqrt{a_{11}^{c}} \dot{q}_{c}^{1} \sin \vartheta+\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}} \dot{q}_{c}^{2} \cos \vartheta-\sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}=0 \\
& U^{2}=\mp \sqrt{a_{11}^{c}} \dot{q}_{c}^{1} \cos \vartheta+\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}} \dot{q}_{c}^{2} \sin \vartheta-\sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We can represent the angular velocity $\omega$ of the supporting rigid body and the vector of an infinitesimal rotation $\theta$ of this body as [1, p. 804]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{c}, \quad \theta=\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}+\theta_{c} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector $\omega_{c}$ has projections $p_{c}, q_{c}, r_{c}$ (as also $\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}, \theta_{c}$ ), which are specified functions of time, and hence, at a fixed instant of time, the vector of an infinitesimal rotation $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c}=0$.

Hence, from formulae (1.7) and (1.8) of [1] we obtain expressions for the projections of the angular velocity of the supporting body onto the $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ axis of the mobile frame of reference (here and henceforth the upper (lower) sign denotes the case $\left.\mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}_{3}^{c}\left(\mathbf{e}_{3}=-\mathbf{e}_{3}^{c}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{3} \equiv \sigma=-\frac{b_{22}}{\sqrt{a_{22}}} \dot{q}^{2} \pm \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}}} \dot{q}_{c}^{2} \sin \vartheta-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}}} \dot{q}_{c}^{1} \cos \vartheta \pm \\
& \pm\left(p_{c} \alpha_{c}+q_{c} \alpha_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \alpha_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right) \sin \vartheta+\left(p_{c} \beta_{c}+q_{c} \beta_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \beta_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cos \vartheta \\
& U^{4} \equiv \tau=\frac{b_{11}}{\sqrt{a_{11}}} \dot{q}^{1}-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}}} \dot{q}_{c}^{1} \sin \vartheta \mp \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}}} \dot{q}_{c}^{2} \cos \vartheta+  \tag{1.10}\\
& +\left(p_{c} \beta_{c}+q_{c} \beta_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \beta_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right) \sin \vartheta \mp\left(p_{c} \alpha_{c}+q_{c} \alpha_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \alpha_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cos \vartheta \\
& U^{5}=n=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a_{11} a_{22}}}\left(\frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial q^{2}} \dot{q}^{1}-\frac{\partial a_{22}}{\partial q^{1}} \dot{q}^{2}\right) \mp \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a_{11}^{c} a_{22}^{c}}}\left(\frac{\partial a_{11}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{2}} \dot{q}_{c}^{1}-\frac{\partial a_{22}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{1}} \dot{q}_{c}^{2}\right)- \\
& -\dot{\vartheta} \pm\left(p_{c} \gamma_{c}+q_{c} \gamma_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \gamma_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We similarly obtain the projections of the vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\delta V^{3} \bar{e}_{1}+\delta V^{4} \bar{e}_{2}+\delta V^{5} \bar{e}_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta V^{3}=-\frac{b_{22}}{\sqrt{a_{22}}} \delta q^{2} \pm \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}}} \delta q_{c}^{2} \sin \vartheta-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}}} \delta q_{c}^{1} \cos \vartheta \\
& \delta V^{4}=\frac{b_{11}}{\sqrt{a_{11}}} \delta q^{1}-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}}} \delta q_{c}^{1} \sin \vartheta \mp \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}}} \delta q_{c}^{2} \cos \vartheta \\
& \delta V^{5}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a_{11} a_{22}}}\left(\frac{\partial a_{11}}{\partial q^{2}} \delta q^{1}-\frac{\partial a_{22}}{\partial q^{1}} \delta q^{2}\right) \mp \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a_{11}^{c} a_{22}^{c}}}\left(\frac{\partial a_{11}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{2}} \delta q_{c}^{1}-\frac{\partial a_{22}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{1}} \delta q_{c}^{2}\right)-\delta \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

Using equations of the constraint (1.8), expressions (1.10) can be converted to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma=-\Delta_{12} \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}-\Delta_{22} \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}+A, \quad \tau=\Delta_{11} \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}+\Delta_{21} \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}+B \\
& n=-\dot{\vartheta}+\Delta_{1} \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}-\Delta_{2} \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}+C \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A= \pm \sigma_{c} \sin \vartheta+\tau_{c} \cos \vartheta, \quad \sigma_{c}=p_{c} \alpha_{c}+q_{c} \alpha_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \alpha_{c}^{\prime \prime} \\
& B=\mp \sigma_{c} \cos \vartheta+\tau_{c} \sin \vartheta, \quad \tau_{c}=\ldots \\
& C= \pm n_{c}, \quad n_{c}=p_{c} \gamma_{c}+q_{c} \gamma_{c}^{\prime}+r_{c} \gamma_{c}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \Delta_{11}=\frac{b_{11}}{a_{11}} \mp \frac{b_{11}^{c}}{a_{11}^{c}} \sin ^{2} \vartheta \mp \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{a_{22}^{c}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta, \quad \Delta_{22}=\frac{b_{22}}{a_{22}} \mp \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{a_{22}^{c}} \sin ^{2} \vartheta \mp \frac{b_{11}^{c}}{a_{11}^{c}} \cos ^{2} \vartheta \\
& 2 \Delta_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{22}}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{11}}{\partial q^{2}}-\frac{\sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{11}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{2}} \pm \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{12}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{1}} \\
& 2 \Delta_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11}}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{22}}{\partial q^{1}} \mp \frac{\sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{22}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{1}}-\frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{11}^{c}}{\partial q_{c}^{2}} \\
& \Delta_{12} \equiv \Delta_{21}=\mp\left(\frac{b_{22}^{c}}{a_{22}^{c}}-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{a_{11}^{c}}\right) \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

We point out the following formulae

$$
p=\sigma \alpha+\tau \beta+n \gamma, \quad q=\sigma \alpha^{\prime}+\tau \beta^{\prime}+n \gamma^{\prime}, \quad r=\sigma \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\tau \beta^{\prime \prime}+n \gamma^{\prime \prime}
$$

From relations (1.8) and (1.10) we further determine the expressions for $\dot{q}^{1}, \dot{q}^{2}, \dot{q}_{c}^{1}, \dot{q}_{c}^{2}$ and $\dot{\vartheta}$ in terms of the quasi-velocity (the terms with $U^{1}$ and $U^{2}$ are not written out)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{q}^{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11}} R}\left(\sigma \Delta_{12}+\tau \Delta_{22}-A \Delta_{12}-B \Delta_{22}\right), \quad \dot{q}^{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{22} R}}\left(\sigma \Delta_{11}+\tau \Delta_{21}-A \Delta_{11}-B \Delta_{21}\right) \\
& \dot{q}_{c}^{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{c}} d}\left(\sigma c_{12}+\tau c_{22}-A c_{12}-B c_{22}\right), \quad \dot{q}_{c}^{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{c}} d}\left(\sigma c_{11}+\tau c_{21}-A c_{11}-B c_{21}\right)  \tag{1.12}\\
& \dot{\vartheta}=-n+\frac{(\sigma-A)}{R}\left(\Delta_{12} \Delta_{1}+\Delta_{11} \Delta_{2}\right)-\frac{(\tau-B)}{R}\left(\Delta_{22} \Delta_{1}+\Delta_{21} \Delta_{2}\right)+C
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& d=c_{11} c_{22}-c_{12} c_{21}= \pm R, \quad R=\Delta_{11} \Delta_{22}-\Delta_{12}^{2} \\
& c_{12}=\left(\frac{b_{11}}{a_{11}} \mp \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{a_{22}^{c}}\right) \cos \vartheta, \quad c_{11}=\left( \pm \frac{b_{11}}{a_{11}}-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{a_{11}^{c}}\right) \sin \vartheta  \tag{1.13}\\
& c_{22}=\left(\frac{b_{22}}{a_{22}} \mp \frac{b_{22}^{c}}{a_{22}^{c}}\right) \sin \vartheta, \quad c_{21}=-\left( \pm \frac{b_{22}}{a_{22}}-\frac{b_{11}^{c}}{a_{11}^{c}}\right) \cos \vartheta
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we obtain, from well-known formulae (see [3, p. 160]) and formula (1.6), an expression for the kinetic energy $T^{\prime}$ of the system considered, derived without taking into account the equations of the constraint

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 T^{\prime}=M v_{0}^{2}+2 M \mathbf{v}_{0} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{C}\right)+\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+2\left(\mathbf{v}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{r}+\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}\right)+\sum_{i=1} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}= \\
& =M \Phi^{2}-2 M \boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho})+\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+M \boldsymbol{\rho}^{2} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}-M(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{2}+ \\
& +2 M \boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{C}\right)-2 M \mathbf{r}_{C} \cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}-\boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho})\right]+ \\
& +2 \boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{r}+2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}=M\left[U^{2}+2 \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{j}+j^{2}\right]-  \tag{1.14}\\
& -2 M[\mathbf{U} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho})+\mathbf{j} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho})]+\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+M \rho^{2} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}-M(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{2}+ \\
& +2 M\left[\mathbf{U} \cdot\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{C}\right)+\mathbf{j} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{C}\right)\right]-2 M \mathbf{r}_{C} \cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}-\boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho})\right]+ \\
& +2\left[\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{r}+\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{r}\right]+2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot\left(\mathbf{Q}_{r} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}=\mathbf{r}_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and an expression for $\Theta^{\prime}$, derived taking into account the equations of the constraint for $\mathbf{U}=0$.
We will denote the vector with projections $\partial \Theta^{\prime} / \partial U^{3}, \partial \Theta^{\prime} / \partial U^{4}, \partial \Theta^{\prime} / \partial U^{5}$ onto the axis $M q^{1} q^{2} n$ by $\mathbf{m}^{\prime}$. The expressions for the kinetic energy of the system $T^{\prime}=T+T^{\prime \prime}, \Theta^{\prime}=\Theta+\Theta^{\prime \prime}$ and the vector $\mathbf{m}^{\prime}=\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}$ can be split into two terms, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 T^{\prime \prime}=2 \mathbf{j}\left(M \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{Q}_{r}\right)+M j^{2}+2 M \mathbf{j} \cdot\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{C}\right)-(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho})\right] \\
& 2 \Theta^{\prime \prime}=2 \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{r}+M j^{2}+2 M \mathbf{j} \cdot\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{C}\right)-(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho})\right]  \tag{1.15}\\
& \mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}=-M(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \mathbf{j})+M\left(\mathbf{r}_{C} \times \mathbf{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for $2 T$ is given by formula (2.9) in [1], where we must replace $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ by new notation: $\mathbf{U}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{m}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+M \rho^{2} \boldsymbol{\omega}-M(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\rho}-2 M\left(\mathbf{r}_{C} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}\right) \boldsymbol{\omega}+ \\
& +M\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{C}\right) \boldsymbol{\rho}+M(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{r}_{C}+\mathbf{Q}_{r} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o} \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Incidentally, if we are given the vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\rho}=x \mathbf{i}_{1}+y \mathbf{i}_{2}+z \mathbf{i}_{3}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{1}=\alpha \mathbf{i}_{1}+\alpha^{\prime} \mathbf{i}_{2}+\alpha^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{i}_{3} \\
& \omega=p \mathbf{i}_{1}+q \mathbf{i}_{2}+r \mathbf{i}_{3}, \quad \mathbf{j}=j_{x} \cdot \mathbf{i}_{1}+j_{y} \cdot \mathbf{i}_{2}+j_{z} \cdot \mathbf{i}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial q^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial x}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{1}+\ldots+\frac{\partial z}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{3}, & \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial q^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{1}+\ldots+\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{3} \\
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial q^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial p}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{1}+\ldots+\frac{\partial r}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{3}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial q^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial j_{x}}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{1}+\ldots+\frac{\partial j_{z}}{\partial q^{\alpha}} \mathbf{i}_{3} . \tag{1.17}
\end{array}
$$

## 2. THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Now, taking expressions (1.10) and (1.8) as the quasivelocities

$$
U^{1}=a^{1}, \quad U^{2}=a^{2}, \quad U^{3}=\sigma, \quad U^{4}=\tau, \quad U^{5}=n, \quad \dot{\alpha}^{1}, \ldots, \dot{\alpha}^{n}
$$

and denoting the corresponding variations of the quasicoordinates by $\delta V^{i}, \delta \alpha^{k}$ using the Euler-Lagrange equations we can derive the equations of motion of the system, following Lar'ye [3]. Proceeding as in [1] using the notation and calculations used there, we obtain that only the following symbols $\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon$ are non-zero.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{35}^{s}=-\Gamma_{53}^{s}=\kappa_{1}^{s}, \quad \Gamma_{45}^{s}=-\Gamma_{54}^{s}=\kappa_{2}^{s} ; \quad s=1,2 \\
\varepsilon_{3}^{s}=\frac{\Delta_{s 1} n_{c}}{d}, \quad \varepsilon_{4}^{s}=\frac{\Delta_{s 2} n_{c}}{d}, \quad \varepsilon_{5}^{s}=-\kappa_{1}^{s} A-\kappa_{2}^{s} B  \tag{2.1}\\
\Gamma_{35}^{3}=-\Gamma_{53}^{3}=q_{1}, \quad \Gamma_{45}^{3}=-\Gamma_{54}^{3}=-1+q_{2}, \quad \Gamma_{34}^{3}=-\Gamma_{43}^{3}=-r_{1} \\
\Gamma_{35}^{4}=-\Gamma_{53}^{4}=1-p_{1}, \quad \Gamma_{45}^{4}=-\Gamma_{54}^{4}=-p_{2}, \quad \Gamma_{34}^{4}=-\Gamma_{43}^{4}=-r_{2} \\
\Gamma_{34}^{s}=-\Gamma_{43}^{s}=p_{1}+q_{2}-1 \quad \text { or } \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{s}=\gamma_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}^{\varepsilon+1}  \tag{2.2}\\
\varepsilon_{4}^{3}=-r_{3}, \quad \varepsilon_{5}^{3}=q_{3}, \quad \varepsilon_{3}^{4}=r_{3}, \quad \varepsilon_{5}^{4}=-p_{3}, \quad \varepsilon_{3}^{s}=-q_{3}, \quad \varepsilon_{4}^{s}=p_{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\kappa_{\alpha}^{s}$ are the projections of $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}=\left(\Delta_{\alpha 1} / R\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\left(\Delta_{\alpha 2} / R\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}(\alpha=1,2)$ onto the $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ axes, $\gamma_{b c}^{a}$ are the triple-index symbols from [1] and $d=c_{11} c_{22}-c_{12} c_{21}= \pm R, p_{k}, q_{k}, r_{k}$ are the coefficients in the formulae

$$
\sigma_{1}=p_{1} U^{3}+p_{2} U^{4}+p_{3}, \quad \tau_{1}=q_{1} U^{3}+q_{2} U^{4}+q_{3}, \quad n_{1}=r_{1} U^{3}+r_{2} U^{4}+r_{3}
$$

Although the expressions obtained here for the quasi velocities are more complex than those of Lar'ye [3, p. 35], the symbols $\Gamma_{t q}^{s}, \varepsilon_{q}^{s}(s, t, q=1, \ldots, m=5)$, as before, are defined by formulae (1.8.2) and (1.8.5) from [3], where the summation is carried out from 1 to $m$ and, principally, all the symbols $\Gamma_{t q}^{s}$, $\varepsilon_{q}^{s}$ in which one of indices exceeds $m=5$ are equal to zero, and hence the equations of motion split into a group of Euler-Lagrange equations for the quasi velocities $U^{3}, U^{4}$ and $U^{5}$ and a group of Lagrange equations for the coordinates $\alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial U^{k}}+\sum_{r=1}^{5} \sum_{t=3}^{5} \Gamma_{t k}^{r} \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial U^{r}} U^{t}+\sum_{r=1}^{5} \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial U^{r}} \varepsilon_{k}^{r}-\frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial V^{k}}=P_{k}^{\prime}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \dot{\alpha}^{s}}-\frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}=Q_{s} ; \quad k=3,4,5 ; \quad s=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations of motion of the supporting body (2.3) have the form ( $Q_{r k}=\mathbf{Q}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \sigma}+\left(\tau-\tau_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial n}-\left(n-n_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \tau}+ \\
& +M\left[\left(\xi-\xi_{C}\right) \tau-\left(\eta-\eta_{C}\right) \sigma\right] \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}+\left(M j_{3}+Q_{r 3}\right) \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}- \\
& -\left(Q_{r 2} j_{3}-Q_{r 3} j_{2}\right)+M\left[(n \xi-\sigma \varepsilon) j_{3}-(\sigma \eta-\tau \xi) j_{2}\right]- \\
& -M\left[\left(n \xi_{C}-\sigma \varepsilon_{C}\right) j_{3}-\left(\sigma \eta_{C}-\tau \xi_{C}\right) j_{2}\right]=P_{3}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \tau}+\left(n-n_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \sigma}-\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial n}-  \tag{2.5}\\
& -M\left[\left(\xi-\xi_{C}\right) \tau-\left(\eta-\eta_{C}\right) \sigma\right] \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}-\left(M j_{3}+Q_{r 3}\right) \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}- \\
& -\left(Q_{r 3} j_{1}-Q_{r 1} j_{3}\right)+M\left[(\sigma \eta-\tau \xi) j_{1}-(\tau \varepsilon-n \eta) j_{3}\right]- \\
& -M\left[\left(\sigma \eta_{C}-\tau \xi_{C}\right) j_{1}-\left(\tau \varepsilon_{C}-n \eta_{C}\right) j_{3}\right]=P_{4}^{\prime} \\
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial n}+\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \tau}-\left(\tau-\tau_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Theta^{\prime}}{\partial \sigma}+M\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{C}\right)\left(\sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1} \sigma+\sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2} \tau\right)- \\
& -M\left[\left(\xi-\xi_{C}\right) \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}+\left(\eta-\eta_{C}\right) \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}\right] n-\left(M j_{1}+Q_{r 1}\right) \sqrt{a_{22}} \dot{q}^{2}+ \\
& +\left(M j_{2}+Q_{r 2}\right) \sqrt{a_{11}} \dot{q}^{1}-\left(Q_{r 1} j_{2}-Q_{r 2} j_{1}\right)+ \\
& +M\left[(\tau \varepsilon-n \eta) j_{2}-(n \xi-\sigma \varepsilon) j_{1}\right]-M\left[\left(\tau \varepsilon_{C}-n \eta_{C}\right) j_{2}-\left(n \xi_{C}-\sigma \varepsilon_{C}\right) j_{1}\right]=P_{5}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The virtual displacement of any point $M_{1}$ of the system will be [3, p. 428]

$$
\delta \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}=\delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{a}+\delta \mathbf{r}_{i}=\delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{a}+\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \alpha^{s}} \delta \alpha^{s}+\theta \times \mathbf{r}_{i}
$$

The elementary work of all the active forces, applied both to the supporting and the supported bodies, on the virtual displacement of points of the system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{\prime} W=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i}\left(\delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{a}+\boldsymbol{\theta} \times \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\partial \alpha^{s}} \delta \alpha^{s}\right)= \\
& =\mathbf{F} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{a}+\mathbf{m}^{o} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}\right) \delta \alpha^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the principal vector and $\mathbf{m}^{0}$ is the principal moment of all the active forces about the pole $O$. In the general case, each force $\mathbf{F}_{i}$ is the sum of a potential force $\mathbf{F}_{i p}$ and a non-potential force $\mathbf{F}_{i n}$.

Taking the equation of non-holonomic constraint into account, we obtain from (1.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{a}+\theta \times p-\delta r_{O_{c}}^{a}-\theta_{c} \times p^{c}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c}=0, \delta \mathbf{r}_{O_{c}}^{a}=0$, we have $\delta \mathbf{r}_{0}^{a}=\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \boldsymbol{\theta}$, whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{\prime} W=\mathbf{F} \cdot(\rho \times \theta)+\mathbf{m}^{o} \cdot \theta+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}\right) \delta \alpha^{s}= \\
& =\left(\mathbf{m}^{o}-\rho \times \mathbf{F}\right) \cdot\left(\delta V^{3} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\delta V^{4} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\delta V^{5} \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}\right) \delta \alpha^{s} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, $\delta^{\prime} W$ is expressed in terms of generalized forces, referred to the quasicoordinates $V^{3}, V^{4}$ and $V^{5}$ and to the generalized coordinates $\alpha^{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime} W=\sum_{k=3}^{5} P_{k}^{\prime} \delta V^{k}+\sum_{s=1}^{n} Q_{s} \delta \alpha^{s} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing expressions (2.7) and (2.8) we find that the quantities $P_{3}^{\prime}, P_{4}^{\prime}$ and $P_{5}^{\prime}$ are the projections onto the axis $M q^{1} q^{1} n$ of the principal moment of the active forces (applied both to the supporting and the supported bodies) about the contact point $M$

$$
P_{2+k}^{\prime}=\mathbf{m}^{M} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{k}\left(k=1,2,3 ; \mathbf{m}^{M}=\mathbf{m}^{o}-\boldsymbol{p} \times \mathbf{F}\right), \quad Q_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}
$$

Carrying out the above calculations separately for the forces $\mathbf{F}_{i p}$ ( and the forces $\mathbf{F}_{i n}$ ), we obtain that the quantities $\partial U / \partial V^{3}, \partial U / \partial V^{4}, \partial U / \partial V^{5}$, which have the form (2.14) from [1, p. 809] (and the generalized forces $P_{3 n}^{\prime}, P_{4 n}^{\prime}$ and $P_{5 n}^{\prime}$, produced by the non-potential forces $\mathbf{F}_{i n}$ ), are respectively the projections onto the $M q^{1} q^{1} n$ axis of the principal moment of the active potential forces (the active non-potential forces) about the contact point $M$. Also $P_{k}^{\prime}=\partial U / \partial V^{k}+P_{k n}^{\prime}(k=3,4,5)$.

For a fixed surfaces $S^{c}(\mathbf{j}=0)$ the equations of motion of the supportion body (2.5) are identical with Eqs (2.12) and (2.13) from [1]. In vector form, these equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+M \boldsymbol{\rho}^{2} \omega-M(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\rho}-2 M\left(r_{C} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}\right) \omega+M(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{r}_{C^{+}}\right. \\
& \left.+M\left(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{C}\right) \boldsymbol{\rho}+\mathbf{Q}_{r} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}-M(\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \mathbf{j})+M\left(\mathbf{r}_{C} \times \mathbf{j}\right)\right]+  \tag{2.9}\\
& +\stackrel{*}{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \times M\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\mathbf{r}_{C}\right) \times \omega+{\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{r}_{C}}}^{o}+\mathbf{j}\right]-\left(M \mathbf{r}_{C}^{*} \times \mathbf{j}\right)+M\left[\boldsymbol{\omega} \times\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\mathbf{r}_{C}\right)\right] \times \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{m}^{M}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if the motion of the supported bodies ith respect to the supporting body is specified or there are generally no relative motions, we have obtained a closed system of eight differential equations (1.8), (1.10) and (2.5) for determining the generalized coordinates $q^{1}, q^{2}, \vartheta, q_{c}^{1}, q_{c}^{2}$ and the quantities $\sigma, \tau, n$ as functions of time. In general, this system is not closed, and for a complete solution of the problem - to determine the generalized coordinates $q^{1}, q^{2}, \vartheta, q_{c}^{1}, q_{c}^{2}, \alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}$ and the quantities $\sigma, \tau, n$ as functions of time, we must add the equations of motion of the supported bodies (2.4) to Eqs (1.8), (1.10) and (2.5). Omitting the derivation, which can be found in [3, p. 433], we will immediately write Eqs (2.4) in the converted form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{s}\left(T_{r}\right)=Q_{s}-M\left[(\mathbf{j}+\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \omega)^{*}+\omega \times(\mathbf{j}+\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \omega)\right] \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{C}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \Theta^{o}}{\partial \alpha^{s}} \cdot \omega-\dot{\omega} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}}{\partial \dot{\alpha}^{s}}-\omega \cdot \varepsilon_{s}^{*}\left(\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}\right), \quad s=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

If the motion of the surface $S^{c}$ is specified, and the set of supported point masses is a rigid body, the equations of motion of supported body will be ( $s=1,2,3 ; k=4,5,6 ;[1, \mathrm{p} .811]$ and $[3, \mathrm{pp} .454-458])$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{r} \mathbf{W}_{C_{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{C_{r}}}{\partial \alpha^{s}}=Q_{s}\left(\mathbf{v}_{0}=\mathbf{j}+\boldsymbol{\rho} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}, \omega_{r}=\sum_{k=4}^{6} \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{k}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{0}^{o}+\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{o}\right) \\
& \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\prime} \cdot\left[\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{c_{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}_{r}+\omega_{r} \times \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{c_{r}} \cdot \omega_{r}+\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{c_{r}} \cdot \stackrel{*}{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{c_{r}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+2 \omega_{r} \times\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{c_{r}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \vartheta_{r}^{c_{r}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}\right]=Q_{k} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{o}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{r}^{c_{r}}+M_{r}\left(\mathbf{E} \mathbf{r}_{C_{r}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{C_{r}}-\mathbf{r}_{C_{r}} \mathbf{r}_{c_{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Theta_{0}^{O}$ is the inertia tensor of the supporting body at the point $O, \Theta_{r}^{O}$ is the inertia tensor of the supported body at the point $O, \Theta_{r}^{C_{r}}$ is the inertia tensor of the supported body at its centre of inertia, $\vartheta_{r}^{C_{r}}$ is the is the sum of the diagonal components of the tensor $\Theta_{0}^{C_{r}}, \mathbf{W}_{C_{r}}$ is the absolute acceleration of the centre of inertia $C_{r}$ of the supported body, and $\omega_{r}$ is the vector of the angular velocity of the rectangular axes of the coordinates $C_{r} x^{r} y^{r} z^{r}$, connected with the supported body, about the axes $O x y z$.

Proceeding as in [3, p. 159], we obtain the angular momentum $\mathbf{K}^{\sigma}$ of the system (the supporting body plus the supported points) about the moving pole $O$ in absolute motion (in the case considered by the Lur'yv it was a fixed pole)

$$
\mathbf{K}^{o}=M \mathbf{r}_{c} \times \mathbf{v}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\theta}^{o} \cdot \omega+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}
$$

If the pole $O$ is the centre of inertia $C$, then $\mathbf{K}^{O}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{O} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{O}$.

We will further determine the angular momentum about the contact point $M$ of the system, consisting of the supporting body and the supported points, in absolute motion about fixed axes $O_{a} x^{a} y^{a} z^{a}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}^{M}=\mathbf{K}^{o}+\mathbf{Q} \times \overline{\mathbf{O M}}=M \mathbf{r}_{C} \times \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}+\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{o} \cdot \mathbf{\omega}+\mathbf{K}_{r}^{o}+ \\
& +\left[M\left(\mathbf{v}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{C}\right)+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{r}}\right] \times \mathbf{p}, \\
& \mathbf{Q}_{r}=M \mathbf{r}_{C}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, substituting $\mathbf{v}_{0}=\mathbf{j}-\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}$, we obtain $\mathbf{K}^{M}=\mathbf{m}^{\prime}$, i.e. $\partial \Theta^{\prime} / \partial \sigma,\left(\partial \Theta^{\prime} / \partial \tau\right), \partial \Theta^{\prime} / \partial n$ are the projections of the angular momentum $K^{M}$ on to the axes to the axes $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$.

I wish to thank D. E. Okhotsimskii for this help
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